	Case 2:24-cv-01626-KJM-EFB Documer	nt 24	Filed 03/05/25	Page 1 of 2
1				
2				
3				
4				
5				
6				
7				
8	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT			
9	FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA			
10				
11	JEREMY JEROME SMILEY,	No	o. 2:24-cv-01626-k	ZJM-EFB (PC)
12	Plaintiff,			
13	v.	<u>FI</u>	NDINGS AND RE	COMMENDATIONS
14	SCHULTZ, et al.,			
15	Defendants.			
16]		
17	Plaintiff is a former state prisoner proceeding without counsel in an action brought under			
18	42 U.S.C. § 1983. At plaintiff's request the court dismissed his case, ECF No. 15, but he has now			
19	asked the court to re-open it. ECF No. 16. He has also filed a motion for a temporary restraining			
20	order. ECF No. 17. Both requests must be denied.			
21	On August 19, 2024, plaintiff asked the court to dismiss his case. ECF No. 14.			
22	Accordingly, the court dismissed the case under Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 41(a)(1) on			
23	August 30, 2024. ECF No. 15. Plaintiff's request for dismissal and the court's grant of that			
24	request closed the case and deprived the court of jurisdiction over the dismissed claims. <i>Energy</i>			
25	Trading and Mktg., LLC v. Davis, 267 F.3d 1042, 1049 (9th Cir. 2001) ("Once the notice of			
26	dismissal has been filed, the district court loses jurisdiction over the dismissed claims and may			
27	not address the merits of such claims or issue further orders pertaining to them."). Thus, if			
28	plaintiff has changed his mind and wishes to pursue the claims he raised in this case, the proper			
		1		

course of action is for plaintiff to file a new case. Elgen Mfg. Co. v. Mac Arthur Co., No. 23-cv-04929-JST, 2024 U.S. Dist. LEXIS 146809, at *9-11 (E.D. Cal. Sept. 16, 2024) (collecting cases). Accordingly, it is hereby RECOMMENDED that the court deny plaintiff's October 23, 2024 motion to reopen (ECF No. 16), close the case, and direct the Clerk of Court to administratively terminate the pending motion for temporary injunction (ECF No. 17). These findings and recommendations are submitted to the United States District Judge assigned to the case, pursuant to the provisions of 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(l). Within fourteen days after being served with these findings and recommendations, any party may file written objections with the court and serve a copy on all parties. Such a document should be captioned "Objections to Magistrate Judge's Findings and Recommendations." Failure to file objections within the specified time may waive the right to appeal the District Court's order. Turner v. Duncan, 158 F.3d 449, 455 (9th Cir. 1998); Martinez v. Ylst, 951 F.2d 1153 (9th Cir. 1991). Dated: March 4, 2025 UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE

Document 24

Filed 03/05/25

Page 2 of 2

Case 2:24-cv-01626-KJM-EFB